



Historical Commission

Regular

~ Minutes ~

36 Court Street
Springfield, MA 01103
<http://www.springfieldcityhall.com>

Andrew Strniste
4137876525

Thursday, March 6, 2025

5:30 PM

Richard Neal Municipal Operations Center

I. Call to Order

5:30 PM Meeting called to order on March 6, 2025 at Richard Neal Municipal Operations Center, 70 Tapley Street, Springfield, MA.

Attendee Name	Title	Status	Arrived
Andrew Strniste	Director of Community Planning	Present	
Vincent Walsh	RAPV Representative	Remote	
Alfonso Nardi	Chair	Present	
Judith Crowell	CPC Representative	Absent	
Walter Kroll		Present	
Marisa Zorzi		Present	
Vana Nespor		Present	

II. Minutes Approval

1. Thursday, February 06, 2025

III. Public Hearings (Continued)

1. Petition (ID # 8443)

144 Buckingham Street (Front Door)

144 BUCKINGHAM STREET: Replacement of Front Door

HISTORY:

02/06/25 Historical Commission CONTINUE VOTE
Next: 03/06/25

COMMENTS - Current Meeting:

Staff informed the Commission that the Applicant has withdrawn the application to replace the front door, and instead, received a Certificate of Non-Applicability to install a storm door.

RESULT: WITHDRAWN

IV. Public Hearings (New)

1. Petition (ID # 8477)

3 Clarendon Street (Siding)

3 CLARENDON STREET: Siding Replacement

COMMENTS - Current Meeting:

William Brock, from the City's Office of Housing, was before the Commission on behalf of the Applicant to present the application. He advised that the existing siding contains asbestos, which was the impetus for the Application for Certificate of Hardship - to install new vinyl siding. Upon approval of the siding, the project will be able to move forward this spring.

Chair A. Nardi asked if the proposed vinyl siding would replicate the historic character of the building; W. Brock responded in the affirmative, but advised that instead of the paneling, clapboard siding would be used. There will be no change to the porch trim, which was addressed in the previous application.

Commissioner V. Nespor asked if any of the rotting boards would be replaced; W. Brock responded that they would be where needed and could come back before the Board should it require.

Commissioner M. Zorzi asked if the asbestos siding would be removed or wrapped; W. Brock responded that the existing siding would be wrapped and the new vinyl siding would be placed on top of the existing siding.

Discussion ensued as to what specific siding would be installed. The Commission determined that the historic photograph depicted an approximate 4-inch clapboard board, which matched with the example siding in the submitted brochure on Page 11.

Commissioner V. Walsh sought clarification about the corner boards, window siding, etc., and their efforts to match the historical nature of the building. Chair A. Nardi advised that the plan was to match the details except for the solid panels.

W. Brock advised that the program likely did not cover the solid paneling; however, would need to verify, and will want to ensure that the owner was not spending money that would need to be paid back.

The Commission concluded that the soffit style most resembled the examples in the brochure on Page 20.

With no public comment, the Commission informed W. Brock that he should come back with information pertaining to the soffit and trim.

Commissioner W. Kroll made a motion to continue the hearing to March 20, 2025. The motion was seconded by Commissioner V. Nespor. With no further discussion, the motion was approved unanimously.

RESULT:	CONTINUE VOTE [UNANIMOUS]	Next: 3/20/2025 5:30 PM
MOVER:	Walter Kroll	
SECONDER:	Vana Nespor	
AYES:	Walsh, Nardi, Kroll, Zorzi, Nespor	

2. Petition (ID # 8478)

108 School Street (Windows)

108 SCHOOL STREET: Replace Six Windows

COMMENTS - Current Meeting:

Angelina Marte, the landowner of 108 School Street, and Eugenio Mejia, were before the Commission to present the application, which entails the replacement of six windows. A. Marte inform the Commission that the six windows were currently boarded up with plywood.

Chair A. Nardi verified the project scope - to replace the single sash windows with double hung vinyl materials.

Discussion ensued about the proposed windows - specifically the type of windows.

Chair A. Nardi inquired about some of the windows being to close the ground. E. Mejia advised that the ground will be lowered.

Harry Seymour, from 68 Washington Road, provided his support for the proposed project.

Commissioner W. Kroll made a motion to approve the replacement windows, which are to be Pella Northwind, double-hung vinyl windows with a six-over-six grid pattern, black in color. The motion was seconded by Commissioner M. Zorzi. With no additional discussion, the motion was approved unanimously.

RESULT:	APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Walter Kroll
SECONDER:	Marisa Zorzi
AYES:	Walsh, Nardi, Kroll, Zorzi, Nespor

3. Petition (ID # 8479)

50 Riverview Terrace (Windows)

50 RIVERVIEW TERRACE: Replace Windows

COMMENTS - Current Meeting:

Fatema Alhussein and Uday, as well as their representative from Green Collar, Daryl, were before the Commission to present the application, which entailed the replacement of windows.

Daryl advised that the landowner was looking to replace the existing wooden windows with triple pane vinyl, which would contain exterior mullions and be desert sand in color. The mullions could only be placed on the upper sash, as the two sash would not be able to pass by one another should a mullion be placed on the bottom pane.

Commissioner W. Kroll confirmed with Daryl that the window itself would sit approximately one inch below the frame.

Chair A. Nardi confirmed that the lower mullions that are currently existing on the wooden windows would be eliminated on the new vinyl windows. As an alternative, Daryl provided that the mullions on the lower sash could be placed on the inside of panes. Daryl confirmed that the storm windows would not be retained.

Commissioner W. Kroll confirmed that the desert sand color was the same color as the sample window that was brought to the meeting.

Commissioner V. Walsh questioned the assertion that the mullions could not be placed on the outside of the window; Commissioner W. Kroll and Daryl advised that it was a product of the manufacturer and this type of window.

No public comment was provided.

Chair A. Nardi asked if the mullions pertaining to the third-floor windows would remain; Daryl answered yes. After further discussion, the Commission confirmed that because the third-floor windows opened outward, that is why the mullions were able to be retained.

Commissioner M. Zorzi inquired about the lighter color window proposed. The Commission confirmed using the historical photograph that the windows were a lighter color in the past. Commissioner V. Nespor inquired if the windows could open outward to maintain the mullions. Daryl responded that they could be; however, the Commission found that the aesthetics would be changed dramatically.

Commissioner W. Kroll asked the landowners if they looked at other windows. Uday responded that they did; however, the project would become cost prohibitive, as 56 windows are being replaced.

Chair A. Nardi opined that architecturally, because of the third floor windows and them maintaining the mullions, he thought the proposal could work.

A discussion ensued about the inclusion of screens; should the applicant install screens, the lack of mullions on the lower stash may be hidden and not as apparent.

Commissioner V. Walsh advised that in the past, the Commission have made people have exterior mullions and cautioned about moving away from that position.

Daryl reaffirmed that many of the windows contained broken ropes or do not line up and not closing correctly.

Chair A. Nardi opined that when reviewing the pictures, there were maintenance issues.

Uday reinforced that various windows were not reparable and that heating bills were exceeding \$2,400.

Commissioner V. Walsh observed that some windows have already been replaced when other windows may be able to be repaired.

Clarification was offered regarding the scheduling and the implications of voting. Should the application be denied, the landowner would need to reapply with a different application. Staff Member A. Strniste advised that if the application was continued, it would be continued to March 20; however, if it was denied, an application would not be heard until at least April 3.

Commissioner V. Nespor advised that the Commission seemed to have reservations about not having lower mullions.

Commissioner V. Walsh offered that there may be a compromise. For example, upon a site visit, perhaps only the street facing windows need to strictly adhere to the policy of ensuring that there are lower mullions. Chair A. Nardi agreed that a site visit would be fair. The applicant agreed to the site visit.

Commissioner W. Kroll made a motion to continue the hearing to March 20, 2025. The motion was seconded by Commissioner M. Zorzi. With no discussion, the motion was approved unanimously.

RESULT:	CONTINUE VOTE [UNANIMOUS]	Next: 3/20/2025 5:30 PM
MOVER:	Walter Kroll	
SECONDER:	Marisa Zorzi	
AYES:	Walsh, Nardi, Kroll, Zorzi, Nespor	

4. Petition (ID # 8480)

180 St. James Avenue (Response to Stop Work Order)

180 St. JAMES AVENUE: Windows, Door & Lattice (Response to Stop Work Order)

COMMENTS - Current Meeting:

Donald Coleman and his contractor Kevin, were before the Commission to present the application to the Historical Commission. Staff Member A. Strniste provided an overview of why the Applicant was before the Commission since the application resulted from two Stop Work Orders - the first involving the replacement of a front door and lattice work and the second relating to the improper installation of windows, which were not in conformance with the prior Certificates.

D. Coleman explained that he has owned the property for three years and that the proposed windows initially approved by the Commission were out of his budget. Furthermore, due to vandalizing, the door was replaced.

The Commission reviewed the Stop Work Orders and the work that was performed. The Commission confirmed that the door was not discussed in the prior meetings. Kevin had advised that there were upgrades that still needed to be performed.

Chair A. Nardi confirmed that D. Coleman was requesting that the white windows would remain.

After reviewing the Stop Work Order and pictures of current conditions, the Commission determined that the lattice was a non-issue and the door will eventually be replaced, thus necessitating review in the future.

Commissioner V. Walsh inquired with D. Coleman as to why he did not return to the Commission for approval when he realized that he was going to be unable to fulfill the parameters of the issued Certificates. D. Coleman responded that the length of time to repair the building was overwhelming, and that the previous Staff person had advised that white windows were okay to install.

Commissioner V. Nespor inquired with D. Coleman as to whether his actions were a result of being overwhelmed or intentional. D. Coleman admitted that being overwhelmed was a contributing factor to not returning to the Commission. Kevin also advised that D. Coleman was taken advantage of by the previous contractor, in addition to being overwhelmed with his personal life. Chair A. Nardi then opined that those factors were the basis to the hardship application.

Commissioner Walsh opined that the biggest issue pertained to the windows, and that those who own properties in Historical Districts are stewards of those properties. Once the structure is changed, then it is changed. He then opined that there is perhaps a compromise where the Commission will take concern with those windows seen on the front of the building and then on the sides.

Commissioner V. Nespor inquired as to whether the windows could be painted black.

Commissioner V. Walsh informed the Commission that painting the windows would likely depend on the model and would not object to that approach. He then opined that he is open to a plan that would allow the work to be completed in stages; however, he would have a difficult time voting to keep the white windows, especially if it is a different model.

Kevin confirmed that the exterior trim was not touched and that the trims are the same as the originals. He and D. Coleman were asking to allow the obtainment of a Certificate of Occupancy and then to continue with the remaining work afterwards.

Chair A. Nardi confirmed that once the Applicant had a Certificate of Occupancy, that he would then commence with replacing the windows, and then confirmed that the windows were similar to the situation with the door, that they would be replaced over time. The Applicant and Representative verified that they would be able to replace the windows within the next year.

Commissioner V. Nespor informed D. Coleman that he should keep the Commission informed about his progress. Commissioner V. Walsh advised that should the project change, that D. Coleman should come back to the Commission.

The Commission determined that a site visit was not needed at this time.

Staff Member A. Strniste recommended proceeding with a Certificate in order to memorialize the proposed plan going forward.

Chair A. Nardi asked for a motion to allow the Stop Work Order to be lifted so the homeowner could obtain a Certificate of Occupancy by the end of May, and at that time, to give him one year to replace all of the temporary windows that are now on the front and

two sides of the house with the original Silver Line V1 Series, in black, as originally approved, and any change to that the homeowner will come back to discuss his timing. Eventually with the door being temporary, he will come back to discuss the door before replacing or removing the temporary door. The motion was moved by Commissioner W. Kroll and seconded by Commissioner V. Nespor. With no further discussion, the motion was approved unanimously.

RESULT:	APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Walter Kroll
SECONDER:	Vana Nespor
AYES:	Walsh, Nardi, Kroll, Zorzi, Nespor

V. Environmental Reviews

1. Petition (ID # 8481)

154 Catherine Street (Exterior Renovations)

154 CATHERINE STREET: Exterior Renovations

COMMENTS - Current Meeting:

Denzel and his business partner were before the Commission to present their application relating to exterior renovations to the building located at 259 Bay Street, but also addressed as 154 Catharine Drive.

The Applicants informed the Commission that they have submitted a Storefront Grant Application with the City and expecting the award upon getting approval. They explained that 18 cracked windows will be replaced with no change to the frame, noting that the frame will stay the same color. The replacement glass will be clear.

The Applicants did advise that they were anticipating to change the color of the building, likely to Fairfax Brown.

Commissioner W. Kroll inquired as to whether the awnings would be changed, which the Applicants responded in the affirmative. The awnings will change in color - from green to black. No change to the lighting will occur; the light fixtures will remain goose neck lighting.

No comments from the public were offered.

Commissioner W. Kroll made a motion to allow Staff to write a letter of support and find that the project have no adverse impact to the building and district. The motion was seconded back Commissioner V. Nespor. With no discussion, the motion was approved unanimously.

RESULT:	APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Walter Kroll
SECONDER:	Vana Nespor
AYES:	Walsh, Nardi, Kroll, Zorzi, Nespor

VI. CPA-Related Requests (Letters of Support and Determination of Eligibility)

1. Informational 2025-2

25 Parker Street: Stained Glass

25 PARKER STREET (IMMACULATE CONCEPTION): Stained Glass Window Restoration

COMMENTS - Current Meeting:

Father Piotr Calik was before the Commission to present the request for a Letter of Support and Determination of Eligibility relating to the restoration of stained glass windows.

Father P. Calik advised that they had made a similar request last year; however, did not receive any funding. This year, they have submitted an application to restore 12 non-religious windows (CPA funds will not be awarded for religious-related windows). The request from CPC is \$150,000. There will be no change of colors. The glass will be removed from the frame, taken to a studio, and then sent back for installation.

Prior to voting, Staff Member A. Strniste clarified that there were two separate CPA applications submitted by the church, and therefore, two separate Letter of Support requests.

Commissioner M. Zorzi made a motion to find that the proposed property is historically significant thereby determining that the project/property is eligible and issue a Letter of Support. The motion was seconded by Commissioner V. Nespor. No discussion ensued and the motion was approved unanimously.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Marisa Zorzi
SECONDER:	Vana Nespor
AYES:	Walsh, Nardi, Kroll, Zorzi, Nespor

2. Informational 2025-3

25 Parker Street (Windows)

25 PARKER STREET (IMMACULATE CONCEPTION): Window Repairs and Replacement

COMMENTS - Current Meeting:

Father Piotr Calik was before the Commission to present the request for a Letter of Support and Determination of Eligibility relating to the replacement of 35 windows.

Father P. Calik advised that there are 35 windows around the church that are in need of replacement, as only a couple of them open. The windows are manufactured by Harvey, will be custom made, and are of different sizes. Commissioner W. Kroll advised that the window choice was great.

Commissioner V. Nespor made a motion to find that the proposed property is historically significant thereby determining that the project/property is eligible and issue a Letter of Support. The motion was seconded by Commissioner M. Zorzi. No discussion ensued and the motion was approved unanimously.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Vana Nespor
SECONDER:	Marisa Zorzi
AYES:	Walsh, Nardi, Kroll, Zorzi, Nespor

3. Informational 2025-4

52 Sumner Avenue (Exterior Renovations)

52 SUMNER AVENUE: Stained Glass Window Repairs and Restoration

COMMENTS - Current Meeting:

Kira Holmes, from 23 West Avenue in Milford, CT, who is normally associated with the Springfield Preservation Trust, was before the Commission on behalf of Springfield Arts Ventures. The proposed project will entail restoring 27 stained glass windows. Pictures of the damaged windows were presented to the Commission.

Clarification was provided about the 10% inflation allowance in the budget, in addition to other aspects of the budget and grant request.

Commissioner W. Kroll made a motion to find that the proposed property is historically significant thereby determining that the project/property is eligible and issue a Letter of Support. The motion was seconded by Commissioner M. Zorzi. No discussion ensued and the motion was approved unanimously.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Walter Kroll
SECONDER:	Marisa Zorzi
AYES:	Walsh, Nardi, Kroll, Zorzi, Nespor

4. Informational 2025-5

201 Maple Street (Exterior Renovations)

201 MAPLE STREET: Exterior Modifications, Replace Roof & Windows

COMMENTS - Current Meeting:

Kira Holmes, from 23 West Avenue in Milford, CT, who is normally associated with the Springfield Preservation Trust, was before the Commission on behalf of Springfield Commonwealth Academy. The proposed project will entail repairing windows, the roof, and skylight. The building was hit by the 2011 tornado.

The proposed budget for this round of funding is for \$300,000. While there is expected to be more work in the future, the scope of the project is contained to the aforementioned repairs. The building is listed on the Springfield Preservation Trust's most endangered buildings list.

Commissioner W. Kroll made a motion to find that the proposed property is historically significant thereby determining that the project/property is eligible and issue a Letter of Support. The motion was seconded by Commissioner V. Nespor. No discussion ensued and the motion was approved unanimously.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Walter Kroll
SECONDER:	Vana Nespor
AYES:	Walsh, Nardi, Kroll, Zorzi, Nespor

5. Informational 2025-6

241 Maple Street (Exterior Renovations)

241 MAPLE STREET: Exterior Repairs and Rehabilitation

COMMENTS - Current Meeting:

Kira Holmes, from 23 West Avenue in Milford, CT, who is normally associated with the Springfield Preservation Trust, was before the Commission on behalf of Springfield Commonwealth Academy. The proposed project will entail restoring the exterior facade. Painting of the structure will be deferred to a later date.

The proposed budget for this project is not to exceed \$300,000. This building was also hit by the 2011 tornado and has been in disrepair ever since.

Commissioner W. Kroll made a motion to find that the proposed property is historically significant thereby determining that the project/property is eligible and issue a Letter of Support. The motion was seconded by Commissioner M. Zorzi. No discussion ensued and the motion was approved unanimously.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Walter Kroll
SECONDER:	Marisa Zorzi
AYES:	Walsh, Nardi, Kroll, Zorzi, Nespor

6. Informational 2025-7

275 Maple Street (Exterior Renovations)

275 MAPLE STREET: Exterior Modifications, Replace Roof & Windows

COMMENTS - Current Meeting:

Kira Holmes, from 23 West Avenue in Milford, CT, who is normally associated with the Springfield Preservation Trust, was before the Commission on behalf of Springfield Commonwealth Academy. The proposed project will entail repairing windows, the roof, the exterior facade and painting. There is currently an active leak.

K. Holmes informed the Commission that there is no MACRIS information on the property. The carriage house was built between 1873 and 1874; the proposed project is expected to return the building to its 1930's status, when it was remodeled. The proposed budget for the project is expected to be around \$269,000.

Commissioner W. Kroll made a motion to find that the proposed property is historically significant thereby determining that the project/property is eligible and issue a Letter of Support. The motion was seconded by Commissioner M. Zorzi. No discussion ensued and the motion was approved unanimously.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Walter Kroll
SECONDER:	Marisa Zorzi
AYES:	Walsh, Nardi, Kroll, Zorzi, Nespor

7. Informational 2025-8

7-9 Stockbridge Street (Stablization and Renovations)

7-9 STOCKBRIDGE STREET: Stabilization and Renovations

COMMENTS - Current Meeting:

Kira Holmes, from 23 West Avenue in Milford, CT, was before the Commission on behalf of the Springfield Preservation Trust. The proposed project will entail stabilizing and renovating the building.

K. Holmes informed the Commission that there was a severe foundation issue that has resulted in structural issues, especially amongst the third floor. The project will include the leveling of the floors. The CPA Application is for \$300,000, which is needed to ensure the longevity of the building.

Commissioner V. Walsh asked if there were any pictures of the building in its current state and then inquired as to whether the building is savable. K. Holmes responded that her understanding is that it was savable and the Trust has a successful record with these types of projects.

Commissioner V. Walsh then advised that foundation issues can be amongst the hardest to save, and therefore, should the building not be savable, perhaps the money could be used elsewhere. K. Holmes responded that she believed the building was savable and that to lose the building would be a tragedy.

Commissioner V. Walsh confirmed that he just wanted to ensure that this was the right thing to do.

Chair A. Nardi suggested that reinforcing the foundation with adjacent buildings could be a possible solution for stabilization.

Commissioner W. Kroll made a motion to find that the proposed property is historically significant thereby determining that the project/property is eligible and issue a Letter of Support. The motion was seconded by Commissioner V. Nespor. No discussion ensued and the motion was approved four (4) to zero (0) with Commissioner M. Zorzi abstaining.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [4 TO 0]
MOVER:	Walter Kroll
SECONDER:	Vana Nespor
AYES:	Vincent Walsh, Alfonso Nardi, Walter Kroll, Vana Nespor
ABSENT:	Marisa Zorzi

8. Informational 2025-9

205 Eastern Avenue (Exterior Renovations)

205 EASTERN AVENUE: Roof, Stained Glass Window Restoration, Repair Bricks

COMMENTS - Current Meeting:

Timothy Paul, from 57 Thompson Street, was before the Commission on behalf of the requester. The proposed project will entail repairing slate shingles, stained glass windows, and masonry work.

T. Paul advised that there are 94 slate shingles that were needing repairs. One of the stained glass windows was vandalized and in need of repair, in addition to some masonry work.

Chair A. Nardi confirmed that the Applicant was working with a slate roofer; T. Paul responded in the affirmative.

Commissioner W. Kroll made a motion to find that the proposed property is historically significant thereby determining that the project/property is eligible and issue a Letter of Support. The motion was seconded by Commissioner M. Zorzi. No discussion ensued and the motion was approved unanimously.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Walter Kroll
SECONDER:	Marisa Zorzi
AYES:	Walsh, Nardi, Kroll, Zorzi, Nespor

VII. Other Matters Properly Before the Commission

1. Informational 2025-1

Section 106 Review - 1295 State Street (Telecommunications Antenna Collocation)

SECTION 106 REVIEW - 1295 State Street: Telecommunications Antenna Collocation

COMMENTS - Current Meeting:

In reviewing the submitted materials, the Commission determined that the project was contained to the interior of building.

Commissioner W. Kroll made a motion for the Commission to find that there is no negative impact since there is no work being performed to the exterior of the building. Commission V. Nespor seconded the motion. With no further discussion, the motion was approved unanimously.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Walter Kroll
SECONDER:	Vana Nespor
AYES:	Walsh, Nardi, Kroll, Zorzi, Nespor

2. Informational (ID # 8491)

McKnight LHD - Eversource Project

McKNIGHT LOCAL HISTORIC DISTRICT - Eversource Gas Meter Upgrade Project

COMMENTS - Current Meeting:

Aimee Henderson and Rick O. from Eversource were before the Commission to discuss the a proposed gas meter infrastructure replacement project within the McKnight Local Historic District.

Staff Member A. Strniste informed the Commission that he misunderstood the infrastructure project as being electric-related rather than gas-related.

A. Henderson informed the Commission that the proposed project involves replacing the old gas-related infrastructure with new technology, which requires moving meters from inside buildings to outside. Moving the meters from inside to outside is the result of a new policy. Eversource believes that they will be able to install the new meters so that they are minimally visible, and will strive to put them on the back of the buildings. Should the meters be moderately or significantly visible, the company understands that they will need to get a Certificate of Appropriateness and will work to screen them where possible. Eversource will not know which meters will be moderately or significantly visible until they schedule their appointments with their customers. Based on the sheer volume, Eversource wants to work with the Commission to find the best way forward and how to proceed.

Further discussion ensued about the proposed project. The Commission confirmed that while electronic reads can be done from the outside when the meter is on the inside, for safety purposes, especially regarding fire-events, the meter being placed on the outside of the house is now best practice and aligns with the new policy, as that would allow the Fire

Department to cut the gas off at the street and at the house (once the new technology and line is installed).

Chair A. Nardi informed Eversource that the Commission would be amenable to screening, but inquired as to whether shrubs and other vegetation would cause difficulty when accessing the meter. Eversource verified that the homeowner would need to maintain the vegetation should that be a route taken for screening.

Commissioner V. Walsh advised that while Eversource-Electricity did not work with the Commission previously, he liked that Eversource-Gas was willing to work with the Commission and that the goal seemed reasonable. He further advised that the Commission is happy to look at the plan and provide feedback once it is more ironed-out.

A. Henderson advised that there are approximately 70 properties in the Historic District that would have their meters moved, whereas the ones with meters on the outside will not need any further review. Commissioner V. Walsh advised that he hopes the Commission has the opportunity to look over the project further. Rick O. advised that he is happy to walk the neighborhoods with the Commission. Once the assessment is complete, Rick O. advised that they will have a better handle on which properties may need to come before the Commission.

Commissioner W. Kroll advised that he would be willing to meet with Eversource to walk the neighborhoods. Staff will help coordinate the meeting.

3. Informational (ID # 8492)

Review of 207 Pine Street Application

REVIEW OF 207 PINE STREET APPLICATION

COMMENTS - Current Meeting:

Staff Member A. Strniste informed the Commission as to why they are reviewing the previous approved application from 207 Pine Street. Specifically, that during a site visit in the area, the attending Commissioners wished to review the application given the current condition of the building and the time it has taken to complete renovations.

In comparing the condition of the building as it looked in June 2024 (via Google Street View) and the issued Certificates, there were notable deviations that were not discussed during the 2022 hearings. The Commission recommended having the landowner come back to the Commission to discuss the project.

4. Informational (ID # 8493)

Administrative Updates

ADMINISTRATIVE UPDATES

COMMENTS - Current Meeting:

Staff Member A. Strniste informed the Commission of various administrative updates:

1. The proposed new application was presented to the Commission. Various recommendations were provided to improve the application. The new application will be made available to the public in the coming days.
2. The proposed Historical Ordinance is getting close to completion and will be presented to the Commission in one of the next few meetings.
3. No Letters of Support were issued since the last meeting.

4. Two Certificates of Non-Applicability were issued since the last meeting: a) 144 Buckingham Street regarding the installation of a storm door, and b) 142 Thompson Street regarding repair and maintenance to the front porch, which will not be visible from the public right-of-way, as well as the installation of a railing on the rear porch which is not visible from the public right-of-way.

5. Informational (ID # 8494)

March 20, 2025 Quorum Call

MARCH 20, 2025 QUORUM CALL

COMMENTS - Current Meeting:

Chair A. Nordi and Commissioner W. Kroll will be in attendance in person for the March 20 meeting. Commissioner V. Walsh will be in attendance remotely. Commissioner V. Nespor will be unavailable. Commissioner M. Zorzi will respond shortly thereafter.

6. Informational (ID # 8495)

Public Speak-Out Session

PUBLIC SPEAK-OUT SESSION

COMMENTS - Current Meeting:

No public comment was provided.

Commissioner V. Nespor made a motion to adjourn. The motion was seconded by Commissioner W. Kroll. With no further discussion, the motion was approved unanimously.